DECISION RECORD ORMAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. DIXIE MEADOWS GEOTHERMAL EXPLORATION PROJECT CHURCHILL COUNTY, NEVADA

Environmental Assessment
DOI-BLM-NV-C010-2011-0516-EA
Ormat Geothermal Units NVN-083934, NVN-083935, NVN-083936,
NVN-083937, NVN-083939, NVN-083941, NVN-083942, NVN-086885

BACKGROUND

Ormat Technologies Inc. has proposed geothermal exploratory drilling and testing within the boundaries of the Ormat Dixie Meadows Lease Area in Dixie Valley, Churchill County, Nevada. This exploration project will involve portions of geothermal leases NVN-083934; NVN-083935; NVN-083936; NVN-083937; NVN-083939; NVN-083941; NVN-083942; and NVN-086885. Currently the details of the proposed action are specified in the Environmental Assessment (EA) Ormat Technologies, Inc. Dixie Meadows Geothermal Exploration Project, DOI-BLM-NV-C010-2011-0516-EA submitted to BLM by Ormat Technologies Inc. Definitive details of the drilling, access road and pad construction will be incorporated in the drilling program submitted for each federal geothermal drilling permit and in sundry notices. The EA proposes geothermal exploration well drilling on twenty (20) new well pads located on federal geothermal leases.

The Proposed Action is within federal lands, managed by the Bureau of Land Management Carson City District Office (BLM). BLM oversees the processing of the geothermal exploration proposal. The purpose of the Proposed Action is to develop the geothermal resource within the Ormat Dixie Meadows Geothermal Unit area in response to Executive Order (EO) 13212, which directs the BLM in a timely manner to support efforts to increase energy production from federal minerals, while preserving the health of public lands.

The Environmental Assessment (EA) Ormat Technologies, Inc. Dixie Meadows Geothermal Exploration Project DOI-BLM-NV-C010-2011-0516-EA evaluated the impacts on the natural and human environment that could result from implementation of this geothermal development project on federal land. The impact analysis in the EA characterizes the potential for impacts, from the Purposed Action, for each resource in the project area. The determination of environmental risk is resource-specific and is based on a number of factors, including the presence and extent of resources within the federal geothermal leases associated with the Proposed Action, the extent of resources in the surrounding area, and the quality of existing data. Based on the EA it was determined that the Proposed Action will not have a significant effect on the human environment and an environmental impact statement (EIS) will not be prepared.

DECISION

Based on the analysis in the Ormat Technologies, Inc. Dixie Meadows Geothermal Exploration Project, DOI-BLM-NV-C010-2011-0516-EA, it is my decision to implement the Proposed Action. This decision is contingent on meeting all stipulations and conditions of the geothermal leases/drilling permits.

AUTHORITY

The Proposed Action meets the criteria described in the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 to prevent undue and unnecessary degradation of public land and with the 43 CFR 3200, 3250 and 3260 regulations. The Proposed Action is in conformance with the Carson City Field Office Consolidated Resource Management Plan (2001) which states that the BLM desired outcome is to encourage development of energy and mineral resources in a timely manner to meet national, regional and local needs consistent with the objectives for other public land uses. The action must comply with the conditions of permit for the Nevada Division of Minerals Geothermal Resource Development Permit Application and with applicable rules and regulation of other local. State, and federal agencies.

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

The EA was made available for a 30-day public review and comment period on October 25, 2011 until November 25, 2011. The EA was made available by hard copy at the Carson City District Office and the website at:

hhp://www.blm.gov/nv/st/en/fo/carson city field/blm information/nepa.html.

All comments received were reviewed, considered and responded to by the BLM Carson City District Office. Minor changes were made in the final EA resulting from public comments received.

RATIONALE

Upon analyzing the impacts of the Proposed Action Alternative and following issuance of the EA for public review, I have determined that implementing the Proposed Action will not have a significant impact to the human environment and that an environmental impact statement is not required. Refer to the attached Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI).

APPROVAL

The Ormat Technologies, Inc. Dixie Meadows Geothermal Exploration Project is approved for implementation. This decision is effective upon issuance in accordance with the 43 CFR 3200, 3250, and 3260.

Teresa J. Knutson, Manager

Stillwater Field Office

Carson City District Office

APPEAL PROCEDURES

If you wish to appeal this decision, it may be appealed to the Interior Board of Land Appeals, Office of the Secretary, in accordance with 43 CFR Part 4. If you appeal, your appeal must also be filed with the Bureau of Land Management at the following address:

Teresa J. Knutson, Stillwater Field Manager BLM, Carson City District Office 5665 Morgan Mill Road Carson City, NV 89701

Your appeal must be filed within thirty (30) days from receipt or issuance of this decision. The appellant has the burden of showing that the decision appealed from is in error.

If you wish to file a petition pursuant to regulation 43 CFR 4.21 (58 FR 4942, January 19, 1993) for a stay (suspension) of the decision during the time that your appeal is being reviewed by the Board, the petition for stay must accompany your notice of appeal.

Copies of the notice of appeal and petition for a stay must also be submitted to:

Interior Board of Land Appeals Dockets Attorney 801 N. Quincy Street, Suite 300 Arlington, VA 22203

A copy must also be sent to the appropriate office of the Solicitor at the same time the original documents are filed with the above office.

U.S. Department of the Interior Office of the Regional Solicitor Pacific Southwest Region 2800 Cottage Way, Room E-1712 Sacramento, CA 95825

If you request a stay, you have the burden of proof to demonstrate that a stay should be granted. A petition for a stay is required to show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

- 1. The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied.
- 2. The likelihood of the appellants' success on the merits.
- 3. The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted.
- 4. Whether the public interest favors granting the stay.

The Office of Hearings and Appeals regulations do not provide for electronic filing of appeals, therefore they will not be accepted.